



STANDARDS AND ETHICS

QUARTER 1 REPORT 2016-2017

1. Introduction

This is the quarterly report to the Audit & Governance Committee detailing both the figures for the Ethical Indicators and the figures for the Local Determination of Complaints process for 2016/17.

For clarification purposes the months covered by the quarters are as follows:

Quarter 1 – 1 April to 30 June Quarter 2 – 1 July to 30 September Quarter 3 – 1 October to 31 December Quarter 4 – 1 January to 31 March

The report is split into 2 parts for ease of reference; Part 1 refers to the local determination of complaints, part 2 is the table showing the ethical indicators figures.

The report will enable the Audit & Governance Committee to build up a picture over time of how many complaints are received and where these are coming from. The parts of the Code of Conduct which have been breached will also be recorded to enable training to be targeted effectively.

2. Part 1 – Local Determination of Complaints

The Monitoring Officer received 2 complaints in Quarter 1 of 2016/17. The complaints related to a District Councillor.

2.1 Source of Complaint

Both of the complaints were received by Parish Councillors.

2.2 Assessment Sub-committee Decisions

There has been 1 Assessment Sub-committee meeting in this quarter.

(i) On 2 December 2015 Mrs A Bridges made a complaint about Councillor Johnson regarding inappropriate comments that he made at a meeting of the Planning Committee on 1 December 2015 during a debate about Mrs Bridges' planning application. Mrs Bridges felt that the comments made by Councillor Johnson brought the office into disrepute and failed to treat others with respect.

The Assessment Sub-committee meeting was held on 26 April 2016 and the decision was that no further action was required.

(ii) On 25 February 2016 Councillor McKendrick made a complaint about Councillor Rushton regarding inappropriate comments that he made about the leadership of the Labour Group and Councillor McKendrick during and after a meeting of the Council on 23 February 2016. Councillor McKendrick felt that the comments made by Councillor Rushton were disrespectful.

The Assessment Sub-committee meeting was held on 26 April 2016 and the decision was that further action be taken. In liaison with the Monitoring Officer, Councillor Rushton agreed to issue an apology at Council. The apology was made and accepted at Council on 28 June 2016.

As members will be aware, the Monitoring Officer now pursues an informal dispute resolution process prior to initialling formal proceedings via the Sub-committee route.

There are no complaints received in this quarter that are currently in that process.

2.3 Timeliness of Decision

The Standards for England Guidance stated that the Assessment Sub-committee should complete its initial assessment of an allegation "within an average of 20 working days" to reach a decision on what should happen with the complaint. The Council has taken this standard and adapted it under the new rules to aim to hold an Assessment Sub-committee within 20 working days of notifying the parties that informal resolution is not possible.

2.4 Review Requests

There has been one review request this year, which was later withdrawn. Review requests can only be made following a decision of 'No further Action' by the Assessment Sub-committee where there is submission of new evidence or information by the complainant.

2.5 Subsequent Referrals

None to report – see above.

2.6 Outcome of Investigations

There were no investigations concluded in this period.

2.7 Parts of the Code Breached

This section is intended to show where there are patterns forming to enable the Audit and Governance Committee to determine where there needs to be further training for Councillors. Targeting training in this way makes it more sustainable and, hopefully, more effective.

So far this year, the following areas of the code were found to have been breached:

N/A

4. Part 2 – Ethical Indicators

| Ref. | Performance Indicator Description | Officer Responsible for Providing Information | Q1 | | Q2 | | Q3 | | Q4 | |
|------|---|---|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| | | | Actual 2015/16 | 2016/17 |
| SE1 | Objections to the Councils Accounts | Financial Planning Team Manager | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| SE3 | Follow up action relating to breaches of the Member/Officer Protocol (Members) | Head of Legal and Support Services | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| SE3a | Disciplinary action relating to breaches of the Member/Officer Protocol (staff) | Human Resources Team Manager | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| SE4 | District Audit Public Interest Reports | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| SE5 | Number of Whistle blowing Incidents reported | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| SE6 | No. of recommendations made to improve governance procedures / policies | Senior Auditor | 2 | 11 | 0 | | 23 | | 8 | |
| SE6a | No. of recommendations implemented | | 1 | 8 | 7 | | 3 | | 20 | |

| Ref. | Performance Indicator Description | Officer Responsible for Providing Information | Q1 | | Q2 | | Q3 | | Q4 | |
|------|---|---|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| | | | Actual 2015/16 | 2016/17 |
| SE7 | No. of Ombudsman complaints received | Corporate Complaints Officer | 0 | 2 | 1 | | 3 | | | |
| SE7a | No. of Ombudsman complaints resolved | | 0 | 1 | 0 | | 2 | | | |
| SE7b | No. of Ombudsman complaints where compensation paid | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | | |
| SE8 | No. of Corporate Complaints received | | 83 | 86 | 116 | | 79 | | | |
| SE8a | No. of Corporate Complaints resolved | | 79 | 99 | 93 | | 52 | | | |
| SE8b | No. of Corporate Complaints where compensation paid | | 0 | 2 | 0 | | 2 | | 1 | |

- The 2 complaints received from the Ombudsman in Q1 were in relation to housing repairs. One of the complaints decision has been received, the Ombudsman made a recommendation which is currently being dealt with by the department.
- A total of 86 corporate complaints were received during Q1 which shows an increase of 3.61% against the same period for Q1 2015/16. 47 of the complaints received (54.6%) were for the Housing Service. The most common reason for a tenant making a complaint against the Housing Service was in Repairs and Investment (33 complaints received, which equates to 70% of all Housing complaints).
- The Housing Service is currently piloting the completion of 'learning logs' for each complaint that is closed. These are then reviewed and used to identify future service improvements and reduce complaint numbers.
- A total of 99 corporate complaints were resolved during Q1 which shows an increase of 25% against the same period for Q1 2015/16.
- There were 2 corporate complaints received in Q1 that resulted in compensation payments, the total amount paid was £364.88.

| Ref. | Performance Indicator Description | Officer Responsible for Providing Information | Q1 | | Q2 | | Q3 | | Q4 | |
|--------|--|--|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| | | | Actual 2015/16 | 2016/17 |
| Freedo | m of Information Act Indic | ators | | | • | | • | • | • | |
| SE9 | Total no. of requests received | Head of Legal and Support Services | 117 | 143 | 150 | | 98 | | 199 | |
| SE9a | No. of requests compliant | | 73 | 134 | 110 | | 85 | | 135 | |
| SE9b | No. of Non compliant requests | | 30 | 9 | 40 | | 13 | | 64 | |
| SE9c | No of requests still open and within the 20 working days | | 1 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| SE9d | Number withheld due to exemptions/fees applied | | 10 | 10 | 17 | | 0 | | 10 | |

- There has been a 20% increase in FOI requests during Q1 this year compared to the same period of 2015/16 which can be in part attributed to interest in the referendum, with questions relating to the number of people on the electoral register and the demographics of the electorate, amongst others.
- The sustained stability in exemptions during quarter 1 reflects the fact that more information is being made available via the council's web pages, which are now easier to access following the redesign of our website. Moving forward the amount of information made available via the web pages will continue to increase. There has been an increase in the number of requests we have received that we have transferred to a different authority, and we are hoping that we can reduce this amount by constantly updating the information on our website.

| Ref. | Performance Indicator Description | Officer Responsible for Providing Information | Q1 | | Q2 | | Q3 | | Q4 | |
|-------|---|--|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| | | | Actual 2015/16 | 2016/17 |
| | ion of Investigatory Powe | ers Act Indicators | | | | | | | | |
| SE10 | No. of Directed Surveillance authorisations granted during the quarter | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| SE10a | No. in force at the end of the quarter | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| SE10b | No. of CHIS recruited during the quarter | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| SE10c | No. ceased to be used during the quarter | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| SE10d | No. active at the end of the quarter | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| SE10e | No. of breaches (particularly unauthorised surveillance) | Senior Auditor | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| SE10f | No. of applications submitted to obtain communications data which were rejected | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| SE10g | No of notices requiring disclosure of communications data | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| SE10h | No of authorisations for conduct to acquire communications data | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| SE10i | No of recordable errors | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |